

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,455	11/12/2003	Yusaku Fujii	1075.1237	6699
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 09/28/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			KOZIOL, STEPHEN R	
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/705,455	FUJII, YUSAKU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen R. Koziol	2624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPINSONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/14	4/2007.				
2a)⊠	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.	,				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9, 13, and 15 is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-12, 14 and 16-27</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
. —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		ı)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	_ ·	ed in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· ·	ed .			
Attachme	·					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 20-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims (1-4, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, and 16-27) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsu et al. US 6,134,340.

Regarding claim 1, Hsu teaches an organism characteristic data acquiring apparatus for acquiring organism characteristic data for at least one of registration organism characteristic data storage and an authentication unit for authenticating the identification object person, from each of an identification object person and/or a registration object person to authenticate the identification object person based on the organism characteristic data (col. 6 ln. 24-48), comprising:

i. a sampling section for sampling a partial image of a portion of an organism from each of the identification object person and/or the registration object person (col. 2 ln. 13-34);

Art Unit: 2624

ii. a detection section for detecting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, a relative positional relationship between the partial image and one of other partial images sampled already (fig 2 item 54, introduced in col. 2, ln. 16-20, and expanded upon in col. 9 ln. 27-60, where the disclosed "feature detection" unit comprises the claimed detection section);

- iii. an extraction section for extracting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, characteristic portion data including characteristic information unique to the organism portion from the partial image (fig 2 items 46-50, introduced in col. 2, ln.16-20 and expanded upon in col. 9 ln. 42-60 where Hsu's system extracts reference patches not limited to containing ridge bifurcation points which correspond to the claimed extraction of characteristic information); and
- iv. a synthesis section for synthesizing, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, the characteristic portion data of the partial image extracted by said extraction section and characteristic portion data of the other partial image based on the relative positional relationship of the partial image detected by said detection section and outputting a result of the synthesis as organism characteristic data of the portion of the organism for the at least one of registration organism characteristic data storage, and an authentication unit for authenticating the identification object person (fig 2 items 54-58, introduced in col. 2, ln. 22-39 and expanded upon in col. 16 ln 10-51, where Hsu discloses using the relative positional relationship of the images to authenticate an object person).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,455

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, and 16-19 the grounds for rejection under 35 USC §102(b) are the same as set forth the in last Office Action.

Regarding claim 20 Hsu discloses an authentication apparatus <u>for authenticating an object person</u> of authentication based on the organism characteristic data (col. 6 ln. 24-48), comprising:

- a sampling section for sampling a partial image of a portion of an organism of an-the
 object person of authentication (see arguments re claim 1);
- ii. a detection section for detecting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, a relative positional relationship between the partial image and one of other partial images sampled already (see arguments re claim 1);
- iii. an extraction section for extracting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, characteristic portion data including characteristic information unique to the organism portion from the partial image (see arguments re claim 1);
- iv. a synthesis section for synthesizing, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, the characteristic portion data of the partial image extracted by said extraction section and characteristic portion data of the other partial image based on the relative positional relationship of the partial image detected by said detection section and outputting a result of the synthesis as organism characteristic data of the portion of the organism (see arguments re claim 1); and
- v. a collation section for executing a collation process using the organism characteristic data from said synthesis section in order to perform personal identification of the object person of authentication and outputting a result of the collation process as an

Application/Control Number: 10/705,455 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

authentication result (col. 16 ln. 10-51, where Hsu discloses processing the results of characteristic data correlation to determine whether or not the user can be authenticated).

Newly amended claim 21 has been fully considered and is rejected for the reasons outlined re claim 20 supra.

Newly amended claims 21, 22, 24 and 26 have been fully considered and are rejected for the reasons outlined re claim 1 supra.

Regarding claim 23 Hsu discloses the organism characteristic data acquiring method according to claim 22 wherein a partial image of a pattern formed from a ridge on the portion of the organism is sampled by sampling (Hsu, figs. 3 and 4, also, col. 6, ln. 24-48).

Newly amended claims 25 and 27 have been fully considered and are rejected for the reasons outlined re claim 23 supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. US 6,134,340 in view of Morita et al. US 4,827,527 for the same reasons as set forth the in last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 17 and 19-27 while canceling claims 9, 13 and 15.

Applicant's remarks filed 14 September 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant alleges Hsu fails to describe all the features of claim 1, specifically the "sampling section," "detection section," "extraction section" and "synthesis section" as recited in claim 1 (Remarks pp. 11).

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

More specifically, with regard to claim 1 Applicant alleges Hsu fails to describe "a sampling section for sampling a partial image of a portion of an organism from each of the identification object person and/or the registration object person." Applicant further alleges Hsu discloses capturing an entire fingerprint image and so does not meet the limitation of "sampling...a partial image of a portion of an organism" (Remarks pp. 11). In col. 2 ln. 13-34 Hsu introduces the biometric data acquisition system complete with sampling section, wherein, as indicated by figs 3, 5 and 6 (none of which are whole images, as areas of the biometric feature of the portion of an

Application/Control Number: 10/705,455

Art Unit: 2624

"Control Number: 10/100,4

organism are cut-off on all sides of the image, thus rendering it a <u>partial image</u> as per the language of claim 1), said sampling section certainly samples a partial image of a portion of an organism from each of the identification object person and/or the registration object person.

With further regard to claim 1, Applicant alleges Hsu fails to describe a "detection section for detecting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, a relative positional relationship between the partial image and one of other partial images sampled already" (Remarks pp. 12). Hsu introduces said detection section in col. 2, ln. 16-20, and expands upon in it col. 9 ln. 27-60, where Hsu's "feature detection" unit fully comprises the detection section of claim 1.

With still further regard to claim 1, Applicant alleges Hsu fails to describe "an extraction section for extracting, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, characteristic portion data including characteristic information unique to the organism portion from the partial image" (Remarks pp. 12). Hsu introduces said extraction section in col. 2, ln.16-20 and expands upon it in col. 9 ln. 42-60, where Hsu's system extracts reference patches not limited to containing ridge bifurcation points which correspond to the extraction section of claim 1.

With yet further regard to claim 1, Applicant alleges Hsu fails to describe "a synthesis section for synthesizing, every time a partial image is sampled by said sampling section, the characteristic portion data of the partial image extracted by said extraction section and characteristic portion data of the other partial image based on the relative positional relationship of the partial image

Application/Control Number: 10/705,455

Art Unit: 2624

detected" (Remarks pp. 12). Hsu introduces said synthesis section in col. 2, ln. 22-39 and expands upon in col. 16 ln 10-51, where Hsu's disclosed feature correlation system uses the relative positional relationship of the images to authenticate an object person every time said partial image is sampled and so meets the limitation of the synthesis section of claim 1.

Therefore, the grounds for rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) are maintained.

With respect to independent claims 20-22, 24 and 26, Applicant advances no further argument and instead relies on arguments re claim 1 which have been refuted above. Therefore, the grounds for rejection of independent claims 20-22, 24 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) are maintained.

With respect to dependent claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10-12, 16-19, 23, 25 and 27, Applicant advances no further argument and instead relies on arguments re claim 1 which have been refuted above. Therefore, the grounds for rejection of dependent claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10-12, 16-19, 23, 25 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) are maintained.

With respect to dependent claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), Applicant unsubstantially alleges Morita fails to cure the deficiencies of Hsu and relies on arguments re claim 1 which have been refuted above. As such, the grounds for rejection of dependent claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,455 Page 9

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 10 Application/Control Number: 10/705,455

Art Unit: 2624

Contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steve Koziol whose telephone number is (571) 270-1884. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - alt. F 8:00-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir

Ahmed can be reached at (571) 272-7413. Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-2600.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-7332.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Stephen R Koziol/

Desk: (571) 270-1884

Stephen. Koziol@uspto.gov

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER